1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA BEAUFORT DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR. NO. 9:22-CR-658) CHARLESTON, SC
4) AUGUST 24, 2022)
5	VERSUS)
6	RUSSELL L. LAFFITTE,
7	DEFENDANT.)
8)
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY GORDON BAKER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE COURT JUDGE
10	ARRAIGNMENT HEARING
11	APPEARANCES:
	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: EMILY LIMEHOUSE, AUSA
12	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 151 MEETING STREET
13	SUITE 200 CHARLESTON, SC 29401
14	FOR THE DEFENDANT: BART DANIEL, ESQ.
15	MARSHALL AUSTIN, ESQ. NELSON MULLINS RILEY AND
16	SCARBOROUGH 151 MEETING STREET
17	SIXTH FLOOR
18	CHARLESTON, SC 29401
19	COURT REPORTER: DEBRA R. BULL, RPR, CRR UNITED STATES COURT REPORTER
20	315 SOUTH MCDUFFIE STREET ANDERSON, SC 29624
21	
22	STENOTYPE/COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION
23	*** *** ***
24	
25	

(Whereupon, the hearing commenced at 10:11 a.m.)

THE COURT: Good morning, please be seated.

One moment, please.

All right. Ms. Limehouse, you may call the

MS. LIMEHOUSE: Thank you, Your Honor, may it please the Court. The first matter is United States versus Russell Laffitte, criminal docket number 9:22-658. We are here for arraignment on the superseding indictment. Mr. Laffitte is here today representing by his attorneys Mr. Bart Daniel and Matt Austin.

THE COURT: Thank you.

first case.

Mr. Laffitte, you are back in court because the Federal Grand Jury has returned a Superseding Indictment against you charging you with additional violations of federal law.

Ms. Limehouse, can you tell us what the new charges are?

MS. LIMEHOUSE: Yes, Your Honor. Substantively, there are really only two changes to the Indictment, we added Count 6, which is another misapplication of bank funds charged in violation of 18 USC 656. It charges that on or about February 20th, 2015, the Defendant, Russell Laffitte, being an officer, director, agent, and

employee of Palmetto State Bank, a financial institution insured by the FDIC, with the intent to injure and defraud the bank willfully misapplied, abstracted, and purloined money in that he caused the bank to fund a \$500,000 line of credit to a bank customer for purposes of farming. Thereafter he issued a \$284,787.52 cashier's check knowing that those loan proceeds would be and were used for other purposes, mainly to payoff loans that were previously extended from HP's conservatorship account. Those allegations are also further set forth in the scheme and artifice, and that is subsection G, I believe, on page 5 of the Superseding Indictment.

Substantively, all other matters in the Indictment remain the same, Your Honor.

The penalties for all six counts in the Indictment are a maximum term of imprisonment of 30 years, a fine of \$250,000, and a million dollars for the 656 charges, supervised release for five years for the 656 -- for all of them -- and a Special Assessment of a hundred dollars.

THE COURT: All right. So, thank you.

Ms. Laffitte, I do want to remind you, you do have the right to remain silent or anything you say in court can and will be used against even in this court

hearing and future hearings, do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Do you understand the nature of all of the charges in the Superseding Indictment and the maximum penalties you are facing for that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: I will ask the Clerk of Court to enter a not guilty plea for you today, there is a form that you sign for that. Mr. Bart Daniel, if you will come up and get it for him.

I believe he has already been informed of his Rule 5(f), the government's obligations to disclose all exculpatory evidence.

MS. LIMEHOUSE: That's correct, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I note that Mr. Laffitte's counsel filed a motion to modify his bond late yesterday afternoon. We will set that for a separate hearing, that has not really been a sufficient time for the Government to respond or to notify the victims of the motion to modify. So, we will set that.

Ms. Limehouse, does the Government wish to respond in writing? I will set a deadline for that if you want to file a written response.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LIMEHOUSE: Whatever the Court prefers, Honor. We can plan to do both in writing and orally. We are prepared to move forward whenever Your Honor is ready. THE COURT: All right. I also -- you see, I have a full docket this morning, so I don't have an opportunity to really have that hearing today. MS. LIMEHOUSE: Sure. THE COURT: I would ask that counsel confer, and if you are going to file something, file it by Monday, something in writing, we will set the hearing for next week, I will send out a notice of that, but I would ask the parties to confer and let the Clerk of Court know how much time we need to set aside for the hearing based upon whether or not there is any witness testimony. MS. LIMEHOUSE: We will. MR. DANIEL: We will. THE COURT: Anything further I can do today for this matter, Ms. Limehouse? MS. LIMEHOUSE: No. THE COURT: Mr. Daniel. MR. DANIEL: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Daniel anything further. MR. DANIEL: No, Your Honor.

MR. DANIEL: Just so the Court knows, we thought 1 2 we were pretty close, the reason we filed that at the 3 last minute or late hour, we were moving for it the last 4 couple of weeks on conditions of bond and that didn't 5 get accomplished in time. I am not blaming anybody, 6 it just hasn't gotten accomplished in time. 7 THE COURT: If there is some reason you work it 8 out and there is no need for a hearing, please let the 9 Court know. 10 MR. DANIEL: Certainly, Your Honor. 11 MS. LIMEHOUSE: Yes, Your Honor. 12 CERTIFICATE 13 14 15 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript 16 from the official electronic sound recording of the 17 proceedings in the above-entitle matter. 18 19 20 August 24, 2022 /Debra R. Bull 21 22 23 24 25